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Disclaimer

The rankings in this document are based on self-reported data and no third party verification was conducted.
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Results of the 2007 U.S. Utility Solar Electricity Market Survey

INTRODUCTION

In the last year, U.S. electric utilities’ engagement with grid-connected solar electricity increased significantly, with major photovoltaic (PV) and concentrating solar thermal (CST) announcements by utilities, their customers, and third-party solar developers. Utilities have traditionally operated as a solar facilitator, integrating customer developed projects. However, recently there have been several announcements by utilities of new entrepreneurial ideas that provide fresh solutions to regulatory, customer and internal issues. Based on these announcements and internal discussions with other utilities, the Solar Electric Power Association (SEPA) anticipates that utilities will quickly become the largest and one of the most important customers for the solar industry. Whether solar electric systems are developed by utilities, their customers, or solar companies, the utilities’ proactive engagement with emerging solar technologies is important to the solar industry as a whole.

SEPA’s first annual utility solar market rankings compare U.S. electric utilities by the total amount of solar electricity installed in their service territories at the end of 2007. The rankings are based on information provided through a survey of utilities and independent research. The overall rankings are broken down into categories based on the amount of solar electricity interconnected to the utility in two different configurations: customer-side of the meter and utility-side of the meter (see page 2 for description of each). Generally speaking, data regarding the customer-side of the meter is limited to photovoltaic technologies, while the data on the utility-side of the meter includes both photovoltaics and concentrating solar thermal technologies.

OVERVIEW OF RESULTS

For total solar electric capacity by megawatt (MW), Southern California Edison (CA) takes top honors as the most solar integrated utility with the most overall solar capacity (MW) and solar capacity per customer (MW/customer). Southern California Edison’s long-standing contracts with the SEGS concentrating solar thermal (CST) plants drive its large number of solar megawatts. However, with a number of large-scale CST announcements by several other utilities, Southern California Edison’s top ranking may no longer hold once these new plants are constructed.

On the customer side of the meter, Pacific Gas & Electric (CA) took the honors for both the largest amount of overall solar capacity and the highest MW per customer, but the latter category is in striking distance for several public power utilities. On the utility side of the meter, Southern California Edison is the highest ranked utility both for overall MW as well as MW per customer, which drove its number one total ranking.

The list diversifies when you dive down further into the data and differentiate utility types. On the customer-side of the meter, Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (CA) is the most solar integrated public power utility\(^1\) in overall capacity, while Kauai Island Utility Cooperative (HI) has the highest capacity per customer for public power utilities.

California—with its long-standing policies for solar development—represents the majority of the highest rankings, but utilities in Arizona, Colorado, Hawaii, Illinois, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Texas, Washington and Wisconsin also make the top ten in many categories. Solar markets are expanding rapidly beyond California and when standardized by the number of customers, interesting results will continue to emerge in the coming years. Next year’s survey and report will be based on 2008 data and will be published in early 2009. It will no doubt show a reordering of many of these rankings as the solar markets change.

\(^1\) Public power utilities include municipal, cooperative, county, and utility districts.
There are three main categories to consider when assessing a solar electric system’s general configuration: siting, metering (interconnection), and ownership.

**Siting** - The solar system can be located on customer, utility or third-party property. The latter refers to a solar developer who buys or leases space (land or building) for the purpose of installing a solar project.

**Metering** - The point of interconnection for a solar system is roughly categorized as being on either the *customer side* or *utility side* of the meter. A solar electric system interconnected on the customer side of the meter offsets the onsite consumption of traditionally sourced grid electricity with solar electricity. This directly reduces a utility customer’s bill at retail rates, and is commonly known as net metering. A system interconnected on the utility side of the meter feeds solar electricity directly into the utility distribution or transmission system rather than offsetting on-site consumption on the property. The solar system can be located on a building or the ground in this configuration, but the system wiring by-passes the internal electricity circuits and connects directly to the electric grid.

**Ownership** - The solar system can be owned by the customer, utility or third-party developer.

The U.S. grid-connected solar industry has initially been developed based on a net metering configuration with customer siting, customer-side metering, and customer ownership. But new business models for solar services are emerging which are configured with customer siting, customer-side metering, and third-party ownership, especially in commercial markets. In Germany, the most common configuration is customer siting, utility-side metering with feed-in tariffs, and customer or third-party ownership. Several utilities in the U.S. have announced plans for solar projects which include customer siting, utility-side metering, and utility ownership.

Figure 2 diagrams the various system configurations and their relative maturity as business options in the rapidly evolving solar electricity industry.

---

**Figure 2. Solar Electric System Configurations**

---

2 *Standard Practice* – default configuration across geographic locations and customer/utility types; *Emerging Trend* – common configuration in some geographic locations and customer/utility types; *Growing Interest* – some combination of one or two examples, several separate announcements, or significant policy dialogue.
ABOUT THE RANKINGS

Data Sources
The various top ten rankings reflect a range of utilities nationwide and include data on cumulative solar electricity installations through December 31, 2007, unless noted otherwise. The rankings below were derived from SEPA survey data collected from over fifty utilities specifically for this project in the spring of 2008, and independent research of California Energy Commission and Energy Information Administration data sources.

The rankings and graphical representations show relative differences among utilities, but to facilitate utility participation, data specific to any particular utility was withheld to address data privacy concerns. The utilities listed are the purchasers of the solar electricity generated, most often through contractual arrangements with their customer-generators, or in some cases, by third-party solar companies or the utilities themselves. There are a relatively limited number of utility-owned solar electric installations today, but several announcements made in 2008 indicate this will soon change.

Note: Due to privacy concerns, the bar charts below show precise relative differences among each ranked utility, but no incremental scale is indicated on the axis. In addition, the bar charts do not relate to each other as far as scale and cannot be compared, as each was created using a different axis measurement. This visual representation method was selected since top ten lists alone would not have provided a sense of variance between each of the ranked utilities.

THE TOP TEN UTILITY SOLAR INTEGRATION RANKINGS

The rankings are divided into three sections:

I. Total Solar Electric Capacity
II. Solar Electric Capacity on the Customer Side of the Meter
III. Solar Electric Capacity on the Utility Side of the Meter

I. SOLAR ELECTRIC CAPACITY – TOTAL

Rankings 1 and 2 represent the combined sum of grid-connected solar electric system capacity from both the customer and utility sides of the meter, ranging from small residential PV systems to large concentrating solar thermal (CST) power plants.

Southern California Edison’s (CA) long-standing contracts with the SEGS CST in California drive their overall top ranking. Pacific Gas and Electric’s (CA) second place ranking is largely due to the significant number of photovoltaic systems interconnected at customer locations, while Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power’s (NV) third place finish is the result of the newly installed Nevada Solar One CST plant. The top public power utility was Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (CA), followed by the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) and Long Island Power Authority (NY).

Ranking 1A: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Total Solar Electric Capacity (MW) – All Utilities

Southern California Edison (CA) #1
Pacific Gas & Electric Company (CA) #2
Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power (NV) #3
San Diego Gas & Electric Company (CA) #4
Xcel Energy (CO) #5
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (CA) #6
Arizona Public Service Company (AZ) #7
Public Service Electric & Gas Company (NJ) #8
Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) #9
Long Island Power Authority (NY) #10

Total Surveyed: 804.7MW
Top 10 Utilities: 96.9%

* A small number of target utilities did not participate in the SEPA survey and data was not readily available through public sources. As a result, these utilities may not show up in the current SEPA rankings.
### Ranking 1B: Investor Owned Utilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Investor Owned Utilities (CA)</th>
<th>Public Power Utilities (CA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Southern California Edison</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pacifie Gas &amp; Electric Company</td>
<td>Sacramento Municipal Utility District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power</td>
<td>Long Island Power Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Co.</td>
<td>Salt River Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Xcel Energy</td>
<td>Austin Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Arizona Public Service Co.</td>
<td>Kauai Island Utility Coop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Public Service Electric &amp; Gas Company (NJ)</td>
<td>City of Palo Alto Utilities (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tucson Electric Power Co.</td>
<td>Riverside Public Utilities (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Commonwealth Edison (IL)</td>
<td>Imperial Irrigation District (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Central Hudson Gas &amp; Electric</td>
<td>City of Roseville Electric (CA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ranking 1C: Public Power Utilities

When the rankings are standardized by the number of utility customers, Southern California Edison again takes the top spot. However Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power and Pacific Gas & Electric swap for positions two and three. Kauai Island Utility Cooperative (HI) and the City of Palo Alto Utilities (CA), both public power utilities, enter the top five rankings.

### Ranking 2A: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Total Solar Electric Capacity Per Customer (MW/Customer) – All Utilities

- Southern California Edison (CA) #1
- Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power (NV) #2
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (CA) #3
- Kauai Island Utility Cooperative (HI) #4
- City of Palo Alto Utilities (CA) #5
- San Diego Gas & Electric Company (CA) #6
- Maui Electric Company (HI) #7
- Tucson Electric Power Company (AZ) #8
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) #9
- City of Roseville Electric (CA) #10
Ranking 2B & 2C: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Total Solar Electric Capacity Per Customer (MW/Customer) – By Utility Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2B: Investor Owned Utilities</th>
<th>2C: Public Power Utilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Southern California Edison (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power (NV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pacific Gas &amp; Electric Company (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Co. (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Maui Electric Co. (HI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tucson Electric Power Company (AZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Arizona Public Service Co. (AZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Central Hudson Gas &amp; Electric (NY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Xcel Energy (CO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Public Service Electric &amp; Gas Company (NJ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. SOLAR ELECTRIC CAPACITY – CUSTOMER-SIDE OF THE METER

Rankings 3 and 4 represent the sum of grid-connected solar capacity in megawatts located on the customer side of the meter, all of which are photovoltaic installations. The customer side of the meter refers to solar electric systems that are configured to first offset onsite consumption at retail rates, with any remaining excess exported to the distribution grid. These types of systems typically represent traditional photovoltaic panels on homes, businesses or adjacent properties utilizing net metering.

For solar capacity on the customer side of the meter, Pacific Gas and Electric leads the rankings by a significant margin, followed by three other California utilities: Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric, and Los Angeles Department of Water and Power. Public Service Electric & Gas Company (NJ) rounds out the top five.

Ranking 3A: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Solar Electric Capacity on the Customer Side of the Meter (MW) – All Utilities

Pacific Gas & Electric Company (CA) #1
Southern California Edison (CA) #2
San Diego Gas & Electric Company (CA) #3
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (CA) #4
Public Service Electric & Gas Company (NJ) #5
Xcel Energy (CO) #6
Long Island Power Authority (NY) #7
Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) #8
Arizona Public Service Company (AZ) #9
Commonwealth Edison (IL) #10

Total Surveyed: 345 MW
Top 10 Utilities: 331 MW
## Ranking 3B & 3C: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Solar Electric Capacity on the Customer Side of the Meter (MW) – By Utility Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3B: Investor Owned Utilities</th>
<th>3C: Public Power Utilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong> Pacific Gas &amp; Electric Company (CA)</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Southern California Edison (CA)</td>
<td>Long Island Power Authority (NY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Company (CA)</td>
<td>Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong> Public Service Electric &amp; Gas Company (NJ)</td>
<td>Austin Energy (TX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong> Xcel Energy (CO)</td>
<td>Salt River Project (AZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong> Arizona Public Service Co. (AZ)</td>
<td>Kauai Island Utility Coop. (HI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong> Commonwealth Edison (IL)</td>
<td>City of Palo Alto Utilities (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong> Central Hudson Gas &amp; Electric (NY)</td>
<td>Riverside Public Utilities (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong> Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power (NV)</td>
<td>Imperial Irrigation District (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10</strong> Tucson Electric Power Company (AZ)</td>
<td>City of Roseville Electric (CA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once the rankings are standardized by the number of utility customers, a diversity of new utilities enter the top 10 on the customer side of the meter, although all are located in California and Hawaii. Pacific Gas & Electric takes the top spot, while Kauai Island Utility Coop and the City of Palo Alto Utilities rank two and three; San Diego Gas & Electric and Southern California Edison round out the top five.

### Ranking 4A: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Solar Electric Capacity Per Customer on the Customer Side of the Meter (MW/ Customer) – All Utilities

- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (CA) #1
- Kauai Island Utility Cooperative (HI) #2
- City of Palo Alto Utilities (CA) #3
- San Diego Gas & Electric Company (CA) #4
- Southern California Edison (CA) #5
- City of Roseville Electric (CA) #6
- Maui Electric Company (HI) #7
- Burbank Water and Power (CA) #8
- City of Ukiah (CA) #9
- Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (CA) #10
### 4B: Investor Owned Utilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Utility Name</th>
<th>City/Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pacific Gas &amp; Electric Company (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Company (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Southern California Edison (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Maui Electric Company (HI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Central Hudson Gas &amp; Electric (NY)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Public Service Electric &amp; Gas Company (NJ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tucson Electric Power Company (AZ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Arizona Public Service Co. (AZ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Xcel Energy (CO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Black Hills Energy (Aquila Energy) (CO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4C: Public Power Utilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Utility Name</th>
<th>City/Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kauai Island Utility Coop. (HI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Palo Alto Utilities (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Roseville (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burbank Water &amp; Power (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Ukiah (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riverside Public Utilities (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Healdsburg (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long Island Power Authority (NY)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## III. SOLAR ELECTRIC CAPACITY – UTILITY-SIDE OF THE METER

Rankings 5 and 6 represent the sum of solar electric system capacity located on the utility side of the meter on either the distribution or transmission system, with the ranked utilities representing the end purchasers of the solar electricity. The solar capacity represented in this category is heavily weighted toward concentrating solar thermal plants, but several new large photovoltaic plants may be indicative of an emerging trend. A separate top ten ranking by utility type was not possible due to the limited number of systems currently in this configuration.

Southern California Edison’s SEGS CST plants on the utility side of the meter drives the rankings here, as they also do for the overall rankings. Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power is similarly led by the Nevada Solar One CST plant purchases, followed by Xcel Energy (CO), Arizona Public Service (AZ), and Tucson Electric Power (AZ). Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) is the highest ranked public power utility at number six.

### Ranking 5: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Solar Electric Capacity on the Utility Side of the Meter (MW) – All Utilities

- Southern California Edison (CA) #1
- Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power (NV) #2
- Xcel Energy (CO) #3
- Arizona Public Service Company (AZ) #4
- Tucson Electric Power Company (AZ) #5
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) #6
- Salt River Project (AZ) #7
- Puget Sound Energy (WA) #8
- Maui Electric Company (HI) / WE Energies (WI) #9
- Pacific Gas & Electric (CA) #10

**Total Surveyed: 460 MW**

**Top 10 Utilities: 459 MW**
When standardized by customer, the rankings change somewhat, with Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA) rising into the top five, and Tucson Electric Power and Arizona Public Service trading spots.

Ranking 6: Top Ten Utilities Ranked By Solar Electric Capacity Per Customer on the Utility Side of the Meter (MW) – All Utilities

1. Southern California Edison (CA)
2. Nevada Power/Sierra Pacific Power (NV)
3. Tucson Electric Power Company (AZ)
4. Sacramento Municipal Utility District (CA)
5. Arizona Public Service Company (AZ)
6. Maui Electric Company (HI)
7. Xcel Energy (CO)
8. Salt River Project (AZ)
9. Puget Sound Energy (WA)
10. WE Energies (WI)

FUTURE UTILITY SOLAR INTEGRATION

It is especially difficult to predict the future in the rapidly changing solar industry. However, increasing utility engagement in solar electricity is an obvious theme. An unprecedented number of utilities have made major announcements of large solar purchases or new and expanded customer programs in 2007 and 2008.

Customer Side of the Meter

On the customer side of the meter, photovoltaic systems will continue to be the dominant solar electric technology. Differences in utility integration of solar are driven by a variety of factors, including state policies, available incentives, retail electricity prices, solar resource, market prices, and the state of the economy. Ultimately, all customer-related solar markets are state and utility specific.

On a national level, using basic projection techniques, annual U.S. growth is expected to increase from 150 MW in 2007 to over 600 MW in 2012 (Figure 1). Prior to 2007, nearly all photovoltaic projects would have been installed under a net metering arrangement, i.e. the customer side of the meter. But with two large PV installations in 2007 and utility announcements of large amounts of customer-sited, utility side of the meter projects in 2008, growth will not be limited to traditional net metering arrangements. While customer sited systems will dominate the market for photovoltaics, the metering configuration may become blurred.

On the state level, several states have solar, distributed generation, or customer-siting requirements within their renewable portfolio standards or other state policies. Utilities in these states will see significant growth in their photovoltaic markets, largely but not exclusively, on the customer-side of the meter, including Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Maryland, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Washington, D.C. These state markets will emerge at different rates over the next five years, but they represent the best prediction for utilities actively engaging solar in a significant manner in the near-term. Other states, and their associated utilities, can certainly emerge as leaders with their own initiatives, and could leapfrog these coarse geographic predictions.
Utility Side of the Meter
On the utility side of the meter, keeping track of myriad announcements of concentrating solar thermal plants is both confusing and indicative of the industry’s potential. There are many hurdles for these projects to overcome before they begin operations related to technology, capitalization, siting, transmission and permitting. In short, announcing a project is not the same as completing one, and the final numbers will play out over the coming years. However, SEPA has identified over 4,600 MW of CST being planned over the next eight years, and even a completion rate of 50% leaves a healthy dose of CST electricity for utility purchase. The industry could experience five to ten fold growth rates, but the range is wide and uncertainty is high.

The emergence of photovoltaics on the utility side of the meter is a new development, with several large announcements by utilities from across the country. However, the majority of the almost 600 MW identified will not be large solar farms. Duke Energy (NC), Long Island Power Authority (NY), San Diego Gas & Electric (CA), and Southern California Edison (CA) have all announced or moved forward on developing customer-sited projects on the utility side of the meter, either through direct utility or third-party ownership. This is quite different from the net metered or feed-in tariff projects in the U.S. and Europe in that the utilities are driving these market changes. For the investor owned utilities however, the regulatory process may hold the key to their projects’ development, as the solar industry and other stakeholders digest these announcements in public forums.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>CST MW</th>
<th>PV MW</th>
<th>Total MW</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Public Service (AZ)</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>CST: 280 MW announced trough project and 250 MW open RFP, to be allocated among several utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Energy Carolinas (NC)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>PV: One 12+ MW open RFP (OH), one 20 MW announcement (NC), one 16 MW customer-sited announcement (NC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Power &amp; Light (FL)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>CST: 75 MW trough hybrid and 10 MW CLFR, PV: 25 MW and 10 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Power Authority (NY)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>PV: 50 MW customer-sited open RFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Gas &amp; Electric (CA)</td>
<td>1,737</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,744</td>
<td>CST: 553 MW trough, 900 MW heliostat tower, 177 MW CLFR, and 107 MW trough-biofuel hybrid; PV: two aggregated 7 MW projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service of New Mexico (NM)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>CST: 70-190 MW open RFP trough, to be allocated among several utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric (CA)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>CST: 300 MW stirling engines with 600 MW expansion option; PV: 80 MW Solar Initiative, 2/3 utility owned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California Edison (CA)</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>CST: 500 MW stirling engines with 350 MW expansion option; 245 MW heliostat tower and 50 MW trough-gas hybrid; PV: 250 MW customer-sited announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xcel Energy (CO)</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>CST: 200 MW and 25 MW troughs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Utility Purchaser (CA)</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>CST: 250 MW trough (Kern Cnty), 250 MW trough (San Bernadino Cnty), 50 MW trough (Victorville Cty), 50MW trough (Palmdale Cty), 290 MW CLFR (TBD); CPV: 200 MW (TBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>5,272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADDENDUM

2008 SEPA REPORTS

Spring 2008
- Residential Photovoltaic Metering & Interconnection Study: Utility Perspectives and Practices
- Photovoltaic Capacity Methods Report
- Utility Solar Business Models Report

Summer 2008
- Solar Fact Finding Mission to Germany for Utility Decision Makers – Summary Report
- Top Ten Utility Solar Integration Rankings
- Customer Incentive Survey Report
- Decoupling Utility Profits from Sales - Issues for the Photovoltaic Industry (white paper)

Fall 2008
- Utility Solar Procurement Report

SEPA reports, as released, are posted for public viewing at www.SolarElectricPower.org.

REPORT SUMMARIES


Photovoltaic Capacity Methods Report. The PV Capacity report catalogues statistical methods for measuring the relationship between peak electricity demand and photovoltaic output, including three utility case studies, and documents the results from a 2007 workshop on the topic with utilities, industry and other stakeholders.

Utility Solar Business Models Report. Business opportunities are beginning to emerge for utilities to become involved in the solar value chain, providing value-added solutions to utilities and their customers.

Solar Fact Finding Mission to Germany for Utility Decision Makers – Summary Report. Twenty-five U.S. utility decision makers traveled with SEPA to Germany in June 2008 to examine how they can emulate the success of German utilities in integrating large quantities of solar electricity into a national utility grid.

Top Ten Utility Solar Integration Rankings. SEPA conducted a nationwide survey of utilities to find out how much solar electricity, e.g. PV and CST, is integrated into their service territories and ranked them overall and per customer to find out which are the most solar integrated, including on the customer and utility sides of the meter.

Customer Incentive Survey Report. SEPA worked with nine state and utility photovoltaic incentive programs to distribute a survey to program participants asking about their satisfaction and experiences with the installation, incentive, interconnection, and ongoing maintenance of their systems. The resulting report will analyze the data across geographies to draw distinctions and parallels across the country.

Decoupling Utility Profits from Sales - Issues for the Photovoltaic Industry. The reduced sale of retail electricity from photovoltaics creates an inherent problem for electric utilities in maintaining long-term operating revenue, especially as the solar industry expands. Decoupling is a regulatory policy that changes the way utilities recover revenues to adjust this disincentive. This decoupling white paper introduces the concept into the solar community, explains what decoupling is, defines the different types, and includes a case study showing how solar market development in the future might affect utility rates under decoupling.

Utility Solar Procurement Report. This project will identify innovative ideas and best practices for utilities to procure large amounts of solar electricity. Going beyond traditional methods, the report will explore innovative ideas for procuring solar, such as aggregation, auctions, and long-term manufacturing agreements, and include best-practices for a traditional RFP / PPA process.
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The Solar Electric Power Association is comprised of three membership categories:

- Energy Service Providers
- Solar Electric Industry
- Solar Electric Stakeholders

### ENERGY SERVICE PROVIDERS MEMBERS

- AEP
- AES
- Alabama Power Company
- Alameda Power & Telecom
- Ameren Fuels and Services
- American Public Power Association
- Anaheim Public Utilities
- Arizona Electric Power Cooperative
- Arizona Public Service Company
- Austin Energy
- Azusa Light and Water
- Barbados Light & Power Company
- Biggs Municipal Utilities
- Braintree Electric Light Department
- Burbank Water and Power
- Cannon Power Group
- Central Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.
- Chelan County Public Utility District
- City of Alameda
- City of Banning
- City of Ellensburg
- City of Gridley
- City of Healdsburg
- City of Henderson
- City of Lodi
- City of Lompoc
- City of Palo Alto Utilities
- City of Pasadena
- City of Riverside Public Utilities
- City of Tallahassee Utility Services
- City of Ukiah
- City of Westerville Electric Division
- Clallam County PUD
- CleanTech America, Inc.
- Colton Electric Utility
- Columbia Water and Power
- Commonwealth Edison
- Cool Earth Solar
- Cowlington County PUD
- CSG Services, Inc.
- Duke Energy (Cinergy)
- Edison Electric Institute
- Edison Mission Energy
- Elektrizitätswerk der Stadt Zurich
- EPRI
- EyeOn Energy Limited
- Gainesville Regional Utilities
- Georgia Power Company
- Glendale Water & Power
- Grant County PUD
- Green Source Energy, LLC
- Gulf Power Company
- Idaho Falls Power
- Imperial Irrigation District
- Kit Carson Electric Cooperative, Inc.
- Lakeland Electric
- Lassen Municipal Utility District
- Lea County Electric Cooperative
- Long Island Power Authority
- LA Dept. of Water and Power
- Madison Gas & Electric Company
- Maui Electric Company
- Minnesota Power
- MMA Renewable Ventures, LLC
- National Grid USA
- National Rural Electric Cooperative Assoc.
- Network Tasman
- Nevada Power/ Sierra Pacific Power
- New Mexico Rural Electric Cooperative Assoc.
- New York Power Authority
- Northern California Power Agency
- Northern Indiana Public Service Company
- Northwest Public Power Association
- Northwest Rural Public Power District
- Oncor Electric Delivery
- Orlando Utilities Commission
- Otero County Electric Cooperative
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company
- PECO Energy Company
- Plumas-Sierra Rural Electric Cooperative
- Port of Oakland
- Portland General Electric
- Puget Sound Energy
- Recurrent Energy, Inc.
- Redding Electric Utilities
- Roseville Electric
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District
- Salt River Project
- San Diego Gas & Electric
- San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
- Santee Cooper
- Seattle City Light
- Sierra Pacific Power
- Silicon Valley Power, City of Santa Clara
- Snohomish County PUD
- Solar Power Assets
- Southern California Edison
- Southern Company Services, Inc.
- Spinnaker Energy, Inc.
- Springer Electric Cooperative
- SunEdison
- Tennessee Valley Authority
- Tioga Energy
- Truckee Donner PUD
- Tucson Electric Power Company
- Turlock Irrigation District
- Washington Public Utilities District Assoc.
- We Energies
- Western Area Power Administration
- Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
- Xcel Energy

### SOLAR ELECTRIC INDUSTRY MEMBERS:

- 3 Tier Group
- Acro Electric, Inc.
- ACT Solar
- AEE Solar
- Akeena Solar, Inc.
- Altair Business Enterprise Corp.
- Amonix, Inc.
- ARAM Solar
- Argand Energy
- Arizona Solar Concepts LLC
- Atlas Solar Innovations
- AVA Solar, Inc.
- Avatar Solar
- Avery’s Electrical Service
- BASS Electric
- BEW Engineering
- BP Solar
- Brite Idea Energy
- Burkle North America
- Centro Solar America
- Chevron Energy Solutions
- CH2M Hill
- Citel Surge Protection
- Concorde Battery
- Conergy
- Cupertino Electric
- D.C. Taylor Co.
- Darfon America Corporation
- Day4 Energy, Inc.
- Delaware Renewable Energy
- Delta Products Corporation
- DH Solar
- Direct Power & Water
- Double G
- DT Solar
- DRI Energy
- Dubuit of America
- EKO Instruments
- Empire
- Energy Innovations, Inc
- Enphase Energy Inc.
- Envision Solar
- enXco
- Evergreen Solar, Inc.
- Fat Spaniel Technologies, Inc
- Finlo Renewable Energy
- First Solar, LLC
- General Electric Company
- Global Solar Energy, Inc
SOLAR ELECTRIC INDUSTRY MEMBERS: continued

Gloria Spire Solar
Greenlight Sunstream
Grenzebach Corporation
GridPoint
ICP Global Technologies
IE Inc.
Independence Power Inc.
Infinia Corporation
Inpower Systems
Jamar Power Systems
Jiangsu Lingly Solarfun
Kinetic Systems, Inc.
Kranich Solar, Inc.
Kyocera Solar
Ledcor CMI, Inc.
Morgan Solar, Inc.
Morningstar Corporation
Mustang Vacuum System LLC
NZ Electric, Inc.
Nanogram Corporation
NexPower Technology Corporation
Norcal PV, LLC
NPCP, LLC
Oerlikon Solar
Open Energy Corporation
Patriot Solar Group
Petra Solar Electric
Phasor Energy Company
PowerFilm Inc.
Pyron Solar
Recurrent Energy
REgrid Power
Renewable NRG Sun
Sapphire Automation
Satcon Power Systems
SCHOTT Solar
Sharp Electronics Corporation
Sharpe Solar Energy
SMA America
SmartSpark Energy Systems
Solar Depot
Solar Design Associates, Inc.
Solar Energy International
Solar Integrated Technologies
Solar Power Industries
Solar Power Works, Inc.
Solectria Renewables, LLC
Solon America Corporation
Southwest Windpower
SPG Solar
Spire Corporation
SRS
Su-Kam Power Systems
Sunforce Products, Inc.
SunLink Corporation
SunPower Corporation
Technos International
Texas Solar Power Company
The Citizens Electric Corporation
Trillion Science, Inc.
Trina Solar Energy Company
Trojan Battery Company
Turner Renewables
Two Seas Inc.
UNIRAC, Inc.
United Solar Ovonic, LLC
Varta Microbattery Inc.
Wilo North America
Xantrex Technology Inc.
Xunlight
XYG Glass
Yuhan Heating & Air
Zhejiang Sunflower Light Energy Science & Technology

SOLAR ELECTRIC STAKEHOLDERS:

360Jmg, LLC
ABB, Inc.
Alabama Public Service Commission
American Power Conversion (APC)
AND, LLC
APWEN
Arizona Corporation Commission
Arizona Dept. of Commerce Energy Office
Arkansas State Energy Office
Baker & McKenzie LLP
Branson/AmTech Ultrasonics
California Center for Sustainable Energy
California Energy Commission
California Public Utilities Commission
Clean Power Research
Colorado Governor’s OMEMC
Colorado Public Utilities Commission
Comtel Ingenieria
Cox Enterprises
DC Public Service Commission
Delaware State Energy Office
ECE Electrical Engineering
Element Markets, LLC
Emerging Energy Research
ENCO Utility Services
enerG Magazine
Exide
Fitzgerald, Abbott & Beardsley
Georgia Environmental Facilities Authority
Glen Ivy Hot Springs
Hawaii State Energy Office
Hibb Rogal & Hobbs
Home Power Magazine
Husch & Epenberger, LLC
Hydro Aluminum
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
Illinois Dept. of Commerce & Eco. Dev.
Independence Power
Interstate Renewable Energy Council
Kansas Energy Office
Kentucky Office of Energy Policy
Kentucky Public Service Commission
Louisiana State Energy Office
Maryland Office of People’s Counsel/McDonough Holland and Allen PC
Michigan Dept. of LEG
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
Missouri Dept. of Natural Resources
Energy Center
Morgan Lewis
NASEO
NABCEP
National Association of Electrical Distributors (NAED)
National Renewable Energy Laboratory
National Association of State Energy Officials
Natural Resources Group
Navigant Consulting
Nevada Public Utilities Commission
Nevada State Energy Office
New Hampshire Office of Energy & Planning
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
New Mexico Energy Conservation & Management Division
NexGen Energy Partners, LLC
NPCP, LLC
North Carolina State University
North Dakota Department of Commerce
NSYERDA
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Ohio Department of Development
Oklahoma Dept. of Commerce
Ongrid Solar
Oregon Department of Energy
Oregon Public Utilities Commission
Pennsylvania Dept. of OETD
Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission
Prometheus Institute
REB Properties
Red Carpet Electric
Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources
RTS Corporation
Sandia National Laboratories
Seagle Electrical Services
SE Corporation
Solar Energy International
South Carolina Energy Office
South Dakota Office of the State Engineer
Southern Ute Indian Tribe Growth Fund
TX St. Energy Conservation Office
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
VA Dept. of Mines, Minerals, and Energy
Washington State Dept. of CTED
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice PLLC
Zackin Publications